## UCLA | EIP

E.A. WEINHAUS
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
22S: MGMT 169 LEC 2: ENTRPRNRL LDRSHP
No. of responses = 12
Enrollment = 33
Response Rate = 36.36%

---

1. Background Information:

1.1) Your Degree Program:

		n=10
Undergraduate Accounting	1	
Other	9	

**1.2) If "Other", please specify your degree program (100 characters maximum):**

- Communication major - Entrepreneurship minor
- Design | Media Arts
- Entrepreneurship Minor (2 Counts)
- Entrepreneurship UME
- Entrepreneurship minor (3 Counts)
- UME
- undergraduate minor in entrepreneurship

2. UCLA Anderson School of Management:

2.1) How effectively was the learning experience organized?

Very Low — 0 / 0 / 0 / 4 / 8 — Extremely High
(scale 1–5)
n=12
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.49

2.2) How well did the instructor demonstrate mastery of the subject?

Very Low — 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 12 — Extremely High
(scale 1–5)
n=12
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

2.3) To what extent was the instructor committed to this learning process?

Very Low — 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 12 — Extremely High
(scale 1–5)
n=12
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

2.4) How rigorous was this learning experience?

Very Low — 0 / 0 / 6 / 2 / 4 — Extremely High
(scale 1–5)
n=12
av.=3.83
md=3.5
dev.=0.94

2.5) To what extent did the course increase your understanding of the subject?

Very Low — 0 / 0 / 1 / 4 / 7 — Extremely High
(scale 1–5)
n=12
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=0.67

2.6) Overall, how would you rate this course?

Very Low   0   0   0   2   10   Extremely High

1   2   3   4   5

n=12
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.39

2.7) Overall, how would you rate the instructor?

Very Low   0   0   0   1   11   Extremely High

1   2   3   4   5

n=12
av.=4.92
md=5
dev.=0.29

3. Comments:

3.1) Comments to the instructor of this course:

- Coach Weinhaus was highly effective in guiding me through the UME capstone requirement.

- Coach really is the best. Compared to some lecturers in this department, he approaches everything in a manner that is lighthearted and encourages students to take what THEY want away from his lectures. He is very straightforward and honest, and gives everyone ample opportunity to participate in class and get the most out of the learning experience. Coach is an amazing professor and I can't recommend him highly enough- wouldn't change a thing.

- Coach was definitely a very enthusiastic and dedicated teacher, and I enjoyed learning about issues in entrepreneurship from the different vantage points we discussed in the course!

- HE'S GREAT

- Very productive class in which I was able to apply what I learned at my internship and class discussion. Coach is a fair professor who cares about his students and is blunt about what is needed to succeed. Helped tremendously in shaping my business career and gave me clarity of how to proceed.

Profile

Subunit: **MGMT**
Name of the instructor: **E.A. WEINHAUS**
Name of the course: **22S: MGMT 169 LEC 2: ENTRPRNRL LDRSHP**
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. UCLA Anderson School of Management:

2.1)	How effectively was the learning experience organized?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=4.67
2.2)	How well did the instructor demonstrate mastery of the subject?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=5.00
2.3)	To what extent was the instructor committed to this learning process?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=5.00
2.4)	How rigorous was this learning experience?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=3.83
2.5)	To what extent did the course increase your understanding of the subject?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=4.50
2.6)	Overall, how would you rate this course?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=4.83
2.7)	Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	Very Low — Extremely High	n=12 av.=4.92